Form PTO 1449 (Modified)		U.S. DEPARTMENT (PATENT AND TRAD	OF COMMERCE EMARK OFFICE	ATTY DOCKET NO. 218063US25CONT	SERIAL NO. 09/683,582				
		•		APPLICANT					
LIST OF	REFER	RENCES CITED BY API	PLICANT		Danny L. BEASLEY, et al.				
				RCE FILING DATE		GROUP			
				HEREWITH		2153	2157	∠	
				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
EXAMINER		DOCUMENT			CI ACC	SUB	FI	LING DATE	
INITIAL		NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	CLASS	IF AI	PPROPRIATE	
	AA	5,557,302	09/17/1996	Levinthal et al.			ļ		
<u>3</u>	AB	5,229,850	07/20/1993	Toyoshima					
	AC	4,736,250	04/05/1998	Blazo		- 1			
<i>\\</i>	AD	4,677,488	06/30/1997	Zato		- 87		EIVEU	
	AE	4,633,297	12/30/1986	Skerlos et al.			IAY I	6 2005	
	AF	5,732,212	03/24/1998	Perholtz et al.		<u> </u>		0 2003	
	AG					OFF	CE OF	PETITIONS	
	AH	,							
	Al								
	AJ								
	AK								
	AL								
	AM								
-	AN	, -							
	2		FO	REIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS		•	•		
		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY		YE	TRANSI S	ATION NO	
	AO								
	AP								
	AQ								
	AR						Î		
	AS								
	AT								
	AU								
	AV								
		OTHER RE	FERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent	Pages, e	tc.)			
5	AW	Procomm Plus User M	anual, Datasto	rm Technologies, Inc., pp. 1-51 and 116-	117, Janu	ary 1991.	'		
J	АХ	Net-911, Key-View, Fo	x Network Sys	tems, Inc., 2 page brochure, 1994.					
	AY								
	AZ				Addi	tional Refe	erences s	sheet(s) attached	
Examiner		p. 91~	u		Date Cor	nsidered	9/26	105	
*Examiner: In	tial if re and no	eference is considered,	whether or not	citation is in conformance with MPEP 60 with next communication to applicant.	9; Draw li	ne through	citation	if not in	

LIST OF RELATED CASES

Docket Number	Serial or Patent Number	Filing or <u>Issue Date</u>	Patent Appl. Publication No.	Inventor/ Applicant
PER CLIENT	5,732,212	03/24/98		PERHOLTZ et al.
PER CLIENT	10/032,325	12/31/01	2002/0091850	PERHOLTZ 9/4/6T
PER CLIENT	09/228,747	01/12/99	ABN	PERHOLTZ et al.
218063US25 CONT*	09/683,582	01/22/02		BEASLEY, et al.

Form PTO 1449		U.S. DEPARTMENT	OF COMMERCE	ATTY DOCKET NO.		SERIAL I	NO.		
(Modified)		PATENT AND TRAC	EMARK OFFICE	218063US25CONT 09/683,582					
		TRES		APPLICANT					
LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY PPLICANT			PLICANT	Danny L. BEASLEY, et al.					
	- 1	NIN 29 2015		FILING DATE		GROUP			
		JUN F.		January 22, 2002		-2153 -	2152		
		ODCOMENT		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
EXAMINER	AMINER DOCUMENT DATE		NAME	CLASS	SUB	FILING DATE			
INITIAL		NUMBER	DAIL	TANGE	00.00	CLASS	IF APPROPRIA	TE	
	AA				_				
	AB			<u> </u>	 				
	AC		ļ		 	<u> </u>			
	AD				ļ				
	AE				<u> </u>	ļ			
	AF				<u> </u>				
	AG				ļ	 			
	AH				ļ				
	Al					<u> </u>			
	AJ								
	AK								
	AL								
	AM								
	AN								
			FO	REIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS		•			
		DOCUMENT	T			Γ .	TRANSLATION		
		NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY		YES			
	AO								
· · · ·	AP								
	AQ								
	AR								
	AS				–				
	AT								
	AU								
	AV	_				-			
		ATUED DE	EEDENCES "	Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinen	t Pages o	tc)			
····		•					Committee le		
5	AW	Memorandum and Ord United States District C	er on Markma Court, Southern	n Issues, Avocent Redmond Corp. (form n District of New York, Case no. 01 Civ.	епу Арех I 4435 (РКС	nc.) v. Rari), ordered I	tan Computer, Inc., March 11, 2005.		
	AX								
	AY	 							
	AZ				Addi	tional Refe	rences sheet(s) atta	iched	
Examiner		D. DIM			Date Cor		9/26/03		
*Examiner: In conformance	itial if re and no	eference is considered, ot considered. Include co	whether or not py of this form	citation is in conformance with MPEP 6 with next communication to applicant.	09; Draw lii	ne through	citation if not in		

FORM PT	O-144	49 (modified	d) /	4.	Attorney I	Docket	No.:	2540-0	1617		
To: U.S.	Den	ortment of C	conspierce	2005	Applicant:	. [anny	L. BEAS	SLEY, et al.		
Pate	nt and	i unent of C	Ontree		Appln. S.N	1.: 0	9/683	3,582			
			TRADEN	MARKO	Filing Date	e: J	anuar	y 22, 200	2		
Informati	on Di	sclosure St	atement by	Applicant	Examiner		INH	, Dung C			
		·			Group Art	Unit:	21:	52			
Date: Augus	st 26, 2	2005	Page 1 of 3								
			U.S	PATENT D	OCUMENTS	S					
Examiner's Initials		Document Number	Date MM/YYY Y	Name (Far	nily Name of nventor)	Clas		ub Class	Filing Da (if appropri	te	
5/	AR	5,499,377	03/1996	Lee		-	-				
as _	BR	5,166,674	11/1992	Baum et al.		_					_
-5	CR	5,673,087	09/1997	Choi et al.		_	-1				
	DR	4,893,175	01/1990	Fukada		_	- -				
	ER	5,257,390	10/1993	Asprey					 		
	FR	5,566,339	10/1996	Perholtz et al	i.		_				
- C-	GR	4,962,427	10/1990	Lunn et al.							
	HR	-,,,			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	IR		 		 						
	JR		 				+		 		_
	KR								<u> </u>	_	_
<u></u>	L					 	-		 		
	LR					ļ					
	MR		-			<u> </u>			· · · · · ·		
	NR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	(a. 6 a. 15 b. 22. 2 d 15					ļ		
	F	OREIGN PA	TENT DOC	JMENTS :		Eng	lish A	Abstract	Translation R Availabl		ly
		Document Number	Date MM/YYYY	Country	Inventor Name	Enc	losed	No	Enclosed	N	lo
	OR] []
	PR							\Box		1-	╧
<u> </u>	QR			-			_	- -	 	┵┾	╬
	RR SR						-		 	╁	┿
	TR		-	 		 			 	╁	╪
	UR	 					=	- -	 	╁	┿
	VR						┪-	一片		十	┭
	WR									1	<u></u>
	XR					[I
	OT	HER (Includi	ng in this orde	er Author, Tit	lle, Periodical	Name,	Pert	inent Page	es, etc.)	1	
\sim	YR				Computing, Se				1.		
	ZR				Software Manu		91, pa	ges 1-29.			
	AAR				chure, pages 1-2						
2	BBR CCR				988, pages i-20. Host Adapter I		Info	mation ?	nages		
}	CCK					roduci			pages.		
Examiner:		0.00			Considered:		<u>`</u>	4/05			
*EXAMINER not in conform					is in conformance next communica				w line through citat	ion it	i

FORM PTO-1449 (modified) Attorney Docket No.: 2540-0617 AUG 2 6 2005 Danny L. BEASLEY, et al. Applicant: U.S. Department of Comperce To: Appln. S.N.: 09/683,582 Patent and Trademark Office PADEM Filing Date: January 22, 2002 Information Disclosure Statement by Applicant Examiner: DINH, Dung C. Group Art Unit: 2152 Page 2 of 3 Date: August 26, 2005 OTHER (Including in this order Author, Title, Periodical Name, Pertinent Pages, etc.) PolYCon Product Brochure (in Japanese), 4 pages. MultYCon Product Brochure, 4 pages. **EER** Sumisho Electronics Co., Ltd. PolYCon Product Brochure with Price List dated June 1998 (in **FFR** Japanese), 6 pages. Aquarium Computer blue grass micro internet server Product Brochure (in Japanese), 2 pages. GGR ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon NetPC Product Brochure, 1 page. HHR ELSNER Technologies Company letter to Raritan Computer Inc. regarding PolYCon Console IIR Management System, May 29, 1998, 3 pages. ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon PolYCon Console Switching and Management System JJR Ordering Catalog, February 1996, 20 pages. ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon Price List for MultYCon Console Switching Products, KKR September 1996, 3 pages. ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon Console Switching and Management System Product LLR Brochure, 2 pages. MMR PolYCon Data Systems PolYCon Management System, 4 pages. Intelligent Network Management System for 1,024 PCs, PolYCon Data Systems Press Release, 3 **NNR** Intelligent Network Management System for 1,024 PCs, PolYCon Data Systems Press Release, 3 OOR ELSNER Technologies Company PolYCon Products Brochure, 29 pages. PPR. QQR ELSNER Technologies Company MultYCon Console Switching System and Extension Products Catalog 97/98, c1997, 27 pages. Declaration of Christopher L. Thomas in support of Cybex's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of RRR Noninfringement (Cybex Director and 4XP Products), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z. United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 12, 1999. Cybex Computer Products Corporation Director Installer/User Guide, 1st Edition, November 1996. SSR TTR Cybex Computer Products Corporation 4xP & 1xP KVM Switches Guide to Applications, c1996. Declaration of Christopher L. Thomas in support of Cybex's Motion for Summary Judgment of UUR Invalidity (Prior Art), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 12, 1999. Cybex's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Invalidity (Anticipation of Claims 1 and 2), Apex VVR PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 21, 1999. Cybex's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Invalidity (Obviousness of Claims 1, 2 and 8-17), WWR Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products Corp. and Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court Western District of Washington at Seattle, January 21, 1999. PLOWA Date Considered: 9/4 Examiner: *EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

FORM PT	ΓO-144	9 (modified)	Attorney Docket No.: 2540-0617				
To: IIC	Dono	thent of Compares	Applicant: Danny L. BEASLEY, et al.				
To: U.S	ent and	rtment of Compaerce Trademark Office TRADE	Appln. S.N.: 09/683,582				
			Filing Date: January 22, 2002				
Informat	ion Dis	sclosure Statement by Applicant	Examiner: DINH, Dung C.				
			Group Art Unit: 2152				
Date: Augu	ıst 26, 20	O05 Page 3 of 3					
•	OTH		e, Periodical Name, Pertinent Pages, etc.)				
ſ	XXR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Respo	onse to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories, Apex, Inc. v.				
		Raritan Computer, Inc., Civil Action No.	01-CV-4435 (BJS)(DFE), United States District Court				
		Southern District of New York, September					
6	YYR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s First	Supplemental Response to Plaintiff's First Set of				
2			puter, Inc., Civil Action No. 01-CV-4435 (BJS)(DFE),				
·		United States District Court Southern Dist					
	ZZR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Secon	d Supplemental Response to Plaintiff's First Set of				
\rightarrow			puter, Inc., Civil Action No. 01-CV-4435 (MP), United				
		States District Court Southern District of I					
	ABR		onse to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 9-12),				
₹.		Apex, Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Civi	Action No. 01-CV-4435 (BJS)(DFE), United States District				
		Court Southern District of New York, Oct	ber 30, 2001.				
	ACR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Suppl	emental Response to Plaintiff's Second Set of Interrogatories				
L			er, Inc., Civil Action No. 01 CV 4435 (MP), United States				
		District Court Southern District of New Y	ork, December 12, 2001.				
	ADR	Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Secon	nd Supplemental Response to Plaintiff's Second Set of				
S		Interrogatories (Nos. 9-12), Avocent Redm	ond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case No. 1:01-cv-				
\sim		04435 (PKC), United States District Coun	Southern District of New York, April 26, 2005.				
	AER	Supplemental Expert Report of Bruce Mc	Nair Regarding United States Patent Nos. 5,884,096 &				
		6,112,264 and 5,937,176, Avocent Redmon	nd Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case No. 1:01-cv-04435-				
∞ 4.		PKC, United States District Court Souther	n District of New York, April 17, 2005.				
	AFR	Expert Report of Sharad Malik, Ph.D. (Re	garding Noninfringement and Invalidity of Beasley et al.				
		U.S. Patent Numbers 5,884,096; 5,937,17	6 and 6,112,264), Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Civ.				
<i>~</i>		No. 01 CV 4435 (MP), United States Dist	rict Court Southern District of New York, January 13, 2002.				
	AGR		nded Statement According to 35 U.S.C. § 282, Avocent				
J		Redmond Corporation v. Raritan Comput	er, Inc., Civ. No. 01-CV-4435 (PKC), United States District				
)		Court for the Southern District of New Yo					
	AHR		aritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 01 CIV. 4435 (MP), United				
4		States District Court Southern District of	New York, February 25, 2002.				
/	AIR	Raritan's Proposed Findings of Fact and C	Conclusions of Law, Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Civ.				
\mathcal{A}			trict Court Southern District of New York, February 6, 2002.				
1	AJR	Judgment, Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer,	Inc., Case no. 01 CIV. 4435 (MP), United States District				
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		Court Southern District of New York, Feb	oruary 25, 2002.				
	AKR	Brief for Plaintiff-Appellant Apex Inc., A	pex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Appeal No. 02-1303,				
9	United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, June 3, 2002.						
	ALR						
	AMR						
	ANR						
	AOR						
	APR						
Examiner		0 pml Date	Considered: 9/26/8T				
			C STANDED COO D STANDARD COO STANDARD CO				

*EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

SEP 1 5 2005 W

	18			<i>E/</i>							
FRANKA						pplication	number	09/683,	09/683,582		
707				ر برد. ۱۲		First na inven		Danny L. BEASLEY			
FORM PTO-1449 (modified)						Art U	nit	2152			
							Date	January	22, 2002		
				closure		Exami	ner	DINH,	Dung C.		
Sta	atem	ent b	у А	pplicant	A	ttorney Do	ocket No	2540-0	617		
						Confirm Numb		4130			
Sheet	1		of	4		Date	e	Septem	ber 15, 20	005	
		·.	-	U.S. PA	TENT	D осимі	ENTS				
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.			ument Number nber-Kind Code		Publicat MM-DD			Patentee or cited docum		
	AR	US-		5,309,564.		05-03	-1994	В	RADLEY et	al.	
0	BR	US-		6,519,540		02-11	-2003		SALANDRO)	
	CR	US-						 :			
	DR	US-									
	ER	US-									
	FR	US-	-								
	GR	US-									
	HR	US-	_								
	IR	US-									
	JR	US-									
	KR	US-									
	LR	US-			•						
	MR	US-									
				FOREIGN	PATE	NT DOCU	MENTS				
<u> </u>		Fo	reign P	atent Document			Name of F	atentee or	English	•	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Count	try Code	-Number-Kind Code		cation Date DD-YYYY	Applican	t of cited ment	Abstract Enclosed	English Translation Enclosed	
	NR										
	OR			·						Ц	
	PR								 	 	
	QR								 	- H-	
	SR TR	 							 		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			_		I		<u> </u>		

İ	Examiner	Dom	Date Considered	9/2/05
	Signature	<i>V. V</i> /		

^{*} Examiner: Initial reference if considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Please include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

/	OIPE 44
	SEP 1 5 2005 g
7	TOTA FRADENTS

	TO TO	FRABEN		Application number	09/683,582			
70			/ 1'C 1\	First named inventor	Danny L. BEASLEY			
FOI	RM PTO	-1449	(modified)	Art Unit	2152			
				Filing Date January 22, 2002				
			sclosure	Examiner	DINH, Dung C.			
Sta	atemen	t by A	pplicant	Attorney Docket No	2540-0617			
				Confirmation Number	4130			
Sheet	2	of	4	Date	September 15, 2005			

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Non-Patent Liter	ATURE DOCUME	NTS 21-22-11	gradien.		
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.					
25	UR Raritan Computer Inc. Dominion KX Digital KVM Switch: KVM Control via Web Browser Product Brochure, c2005, 4 pages.						
	VR	Fox Network Systems, Inc. Net-911 K Revision 3.3, July 7, 1994.	Cey-View System Us	ser's Reference Manual,			
5	WR	Decision, Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 02-1303, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, 325 F.3d 1364; 2003 U.S. App. LEXIS 6321; 66 U.S.P.Q.2D (BNA) 1444, April 2, 2003, 16 pages.					
æ\$	XR	Decision, Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 01 CIV. 4435 (MP), United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, 187 F. Supp. 2d 141; 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 3466, February 25, 2002, 32 pages.					
0	YR	Table comparing Claims of U.S. Pater 5,721,842; Avocent Redmond Corp. f Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-044	ormerly known as A	PEX, Inc. v. Raritan			
<i>J</i>	ZR	Amended Answer, Affirmative Defen F, H, I and M, Avovent Redmond Cor Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-044 Southern District of New York, filed I	rp. formerly known a 35-PKC, United Stat	is Apex, Inc. v. Raritan			
8	Reply to Opposition to Petition to Waive the Rules and Protest filed in U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 08/969,723 on February 24, 1999 (by Cybex prior to becoming a sister company to Apex (now Avocent Redmond)), 8 pages.						
Memorandum in Support of Defendant Raritan Computer, Inc.'s Motion to Re- Open Discovery and Modify the Scheduling Order in this Case, Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 22, 2005.					<u> </u>		
Examiner Signature		D. DIM	Date Considered	9/2/00			

^{*} Examiner: Initial reference if considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Please include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

OIPE WAR
SEP 1 5 2005
A STATE OF THE STA

	RA			Application number	09/683,582
70	TRATE THAT			First named inventor	Danny L. BEASLEY
FO	RM PTO-	1449	(modified)	Art Unit	2152
		•		Filing Date	January 22, 2002
			sclosure	Examiner	DINH, Dung C.
Sta	atement	ру А	pplicant	Attorney Docket No	2540-0617
				Confirmation Number	4130
Sheet	3	of	4	Date	September 15, 2005

1 4 77 27	7. 192	Non-Patent Liverature Documents	Taryi di	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	English Translation Enclosed	
5	CCR	Avocent Redmond's Supplemental Responses to Raritan's First Set of Interrogatories, Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.	<u> </u>	
5	DDR	Avocent Redmond's Supplemental Responses to Raritan's Second Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 20-22), Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.		
2	EER	Avocent Redmond's Supplemental Responses to Raritan's Second Set of Post-Remand Interrogatories (Nos. 15R-17R), Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.	<u> </u>	
5	FFR	Avocent Redmond's Response to Raritan's Third Set of Post-Remand Interrogatories (No. 18R) [SIC: Nos. 18R-19R], Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.		
0	GGR	Avocent Redmond's Response to Raritan's Second Set of Post-Remand Requests for Production of Documents and Things (Nos. 30R-33R) [SIC: Nos. 30R-31R], Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case no. 1:01-cv-04435-PKC, United States District Court Southern District of New York, filed March 14, 2005.		
5	HHR	Videotape 30(b)(6) Deposition Transcript upon Oral Examination of Danny Beasley (Volume 1), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products and Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle, December 9, 1998. See e.g., pages 1-8, 232-234 cited by Raritan.		
Ţ	IIR	Videotape 30(b)(6) Deposition Transcript upon Oral Examination of Danny Beasley (Volume 2), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products and Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle, December 10, 1998. See e.g., pages 384 and 581-607 cited by Raritan.		
Examiner Signature	_	p. O/M Date Considered 9/2/05		

^{*} Examiner: Initial reference if considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Please include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.



TRANSPORT				Application number	09/683,582
FORM PTO-1449 (modified)				First named inventor	Danny L. BEASLEY
				Art Unit	2152
	•			Filing Date	January 22, 2002
			sclosure	Examiner	DINH, Dung C.
St	atemen	t by A	pplicant	Attorney Docket No	2540-0617
·				Confirmation Number	4130
Sheet	4	of	4	Date	September 15, 2005

		Non-Patent Literature Documents	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	English Translation Enclosed
	- JJR	Videotape 30(b)(6) Deposition Transcript upon Oral Examination of Danny Beasley (Volume 3), Apex PC Solutions, Inc. v. Cybex Computer Products and Rose Electronics, Case nos. C98-246Z and C98-245Z, United States District Court for the Western District of Washington at Seattle, December 11, 1998.	
5	KKR	Video Deposition Transcript of Doyle C. Weeks, Avocent Redmond Corp. v. Raritan Computer, Inc., Case No. 01 CV 4435 (PKC), In the United States District Court Southern District of New York, March 15, 2005. See e.g., pages 1-7, 97-100, 117-120, 127-131, 153-164 and 225-226 cited by Raritan.	□
	LLR		
	MMR		
	NNR		
	OOR		
	PPR		
	QQR	·	

Examiner	2.011	Date Considered	9/2/02
Signature	0. 1101	Date Considered	(1 - 7 - 0

^{*} Examiner: Initial reference if considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Please include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.